## 

(4) .

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) THIEN T. MAI. (3) Yuri Funaki.

(2) Chris Bennett.

Date of Interview: <u>04 November 2009</u>.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 7 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Endo and Kuwamoto.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Applicant proposed some claim amendments to at least overcome, the objection of</u> "a space," reliased in the previous Office Action. <u>Applicant further to consider amending the claim to indicate the macrates sheet and antenna substrate is attached to the case of the reader/writer in order to overcome the prior art reaction. <u>Further search is pending upon repealing a formal amendment.</u></u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLIDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE WINTERVIEW, OSE MEPS Section 7304, If a reply to be last Office and than as leavely seen flet APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Neural of Information Control of the Co

/Thien T Mai/
Examiner, Art Unit 2887
U.S. Patent and Trademark Offin